vss

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
OOCJ
WRIT PETITION (L) NO.1438 OF 2007

Sudhir A. Shirke & Ors. ... Petitioners
V/s.
State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr.M.M. Vashi for Petitioners
Mr.P.G. Lad, AGP, for Respondent Nos.1 and 2
Mr.J.G. Reddy for Respondent No.3
Ms.Leena Patil for Respondent No.4

CORAM: **J.N. PATEL &**
SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, JJ.
DATED: **NOVEMBER 29, 2007**

P.C.:

. The learned AGP states that the impugned order which was passed ex-parte came to be challenged in this petition. The order was effective till 19.6.2007. Thereafter it has not been extended and therefore, nothing survives in the petition.

2. By this statement, the Court understands that there is no order against the petitioners restraining them from the implementation of the SRA scheme. In view of this statement, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks to withdraw the same and submit that this Court may observe that the respondent SRA be directed to process the scheme in accordance with law. We, therefore, permit the petitioners to withdraw the petition with a direction to the respondent Slum Rehabilitation Authority to dispose of the scheme of the petitioners in accordance with law.

(SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, J.) (J.N. Patel, J.)